established for the institution's current fiscal year, the agency shall obtain from the institution a proposal for predetermined rates.

- (ii) If the proposal is found to be generally acceptable, the agency shall negotiate the predetermined rates with the institution. The rates should be based on an audit of the institution's costs for the year immediately preceding the year in which the rates are being negotiated. If this is not possible, an earlier audit may be used, but appropriate steps should be taken to identify and evaluate significant variations in costs incurred or in bases used that may have a bearing on the reasonableness of the proposed rates. However, in the case of smaller contracts (e.g., \$100,000 or less), an audit made at an earlier date is acceptable if (A) there have been no significant changes in the contractor's organization and (B) it is reasonably apparent that another audit would have little effect on the rates finally agreed upon and the potential for overpayment of indirect cost is relatively insignificant.
 - (5) If predetermined rates are used—
- (i) The contracting officer shall include the negotiated rates and bases in the contract Schedule; and
- (ii) See 16.307(i), which prescribes the clause at 52.216-15, Predetermined Indirect Cost Rates.
- (6) Predetermined indirect cost rates shall be applicable for a period of not more than four years. The agency shall obtain the contractor's proposal for new predetermined rates sufficiently in advance so that the new rates, based on current data, may be promptly negotiated near the beginning of the new fiscal year or other period agreed to by the parties (see paragraphs (b) and (d) of the clause at 52.216–15, Predetermined Indirect Cost Rates).
- (7) Contracting officers shall use billing rates established by the agency to reimburse the contractor for work performed during a period not covered by predetermined rates.

[48 FR 42370, Sept. 19, 1983, as amended at 61 FR 31622, June 20, 1996; 63 FR 9065, Feb. 23, 1998]

42.705-4 State and local governments.

OMB Circular No. A-87 concerning cost principles for state and local gov-

ernments (see subpart 31.6) establishes the cognizant agency concept and procedures for determining a cognizant agency for approving state and local government indirect costs associated with federally-funded programs and activities. The indirect cost rates negotiated by the cognizant agency will be used by all Federal agencies that also award contracts to these same state and local governments.

42.705-5 Nonprofit organizations other than educational and state and local governments.

See OMB Circular No. A-122.

42.706 Distribution of documents.

- (a) The contracting officer or auditor shall promptly distribute executed copies of the indirect cost rate agreement to the contractor and to each affected contracting agency and shall provide copies of the agreement for the contract files, in accordance with the guidance for contract modifications in subpart 4.2, Contract Distribution.
- (b) Copies of the negotiation memorandum prepared under contracting officer determination or audit report prepared under auditor determination shall be furnished, as appropriate, to the contracting offices and Government audit offices.

42.707 Cost-sharing rates and limitations on indirect cost rates.

- (a) Cost-sharing arrangements, when authorized, may call for the contractor to participate in the costs of the contract by accepting indirect cost rates lower than the anticipated actual rates. In such cases, a negotiated indirect cost rate ceiling may be incorporated into the contract for prospective application. For cost sharing under research and development contracts, see 35.003(b).
- (b)(1) Other situations may make it prudent to provide a final indirect cost rate ceiling in a contract. Examples of such circumstances are when the proposed contractor—
- (i) Is a new or recently reorganized company, and there is no past or recent record of incurred indirect costs;
- (ii) Has a recent record of a rapidly increasing indirect cost rate due to a declining volume of sales without a

42.708

commensurate decline in indirect expenses; or

- (iii) Seeks to enhance its competitive position in a particular circumstance by basing its proposal on indirect cost rates lower than those that may reasonably be expected to occur during contract performance, thereby causing a cost overrun.
- (2) In such cases, an equitable ceiling covering the final indirect cost rates may be negotiated and specified in the contract.
- (c) When ceiling provisions are utilized, the contract shall also provide that (1) the Government will not be obligated to pay any additional amount should the final indirect cost rates exceed the negotiated ceiling rates and, (2) in the event the final indirect cost rates are less than the negotiated ceiling rates, the negotiated rates will be reduced to conform with the lower rates.

42.708 Quick-closeout procedure.

- (a) The contracting officer responsible for contract closeout shall negotiate the settlement of indirect costs for a specific contract, in advance of the determination of final indirect cost rates if—
- (1) The contract is physically complete;
- (2) The amount of unsettled indirect cost to be allocated to the contract is relatively insignificant. Indirect cost amounts will be considered insignificant when—
- (i) The total unsettled indirect cost to be allocated to any one contract does not exceed \$1,000,000; and
- (ii) Unless otherwise provided in agency procedures, the cumulative unsettled indirect costs to be allocated to one or more contracts in a single fiscal year do not exceed 15 percent of the estimated, total unsettled indirect costs allocable to cost-type contracts for that fiscal year. The contracting officer may waive the 15 percent restriction based upon a risk assessment that considers the contractor's accounting, estimating, and purchasing systems; other concerns of the cognizant contract auditors; and any other pertinent information; and

- (3) Agreement can be reached on a reasonable estimate of allocable dollars.
- (b) Determinations of final indirect costs under the quick-closeout procedure provided for by the Allowable Cost and Payment clause at 52.216–7 or 52.216–13 shall be final for the contract it covers and no adjustment shall be made to other contracts for over- or under-recoveries of costs allocated or allocable to the contract covered by the agreement.
- (c) Indirect cost rates used in the quick closeout of a contract shall not be considered a binding precedent when establishing the final indirect cost rates for other contracts.

[48 FR 42370, Sept. 19, 1983, as amended at 55 FR 52796, Dec. 21, 1990; 61 FR 31661, June 20, 1996]

42.709 Scope.

- (a) This section implements 10 U.S.C. 2324 (a) through (d) and 41 U.S.C. 256 (a) through (d). It covers the assessment of penalties against contractors which include unallowable indirect costs in—
- (1) Final indirect cost rate proposals; or
- (2) The final statement of costs incurred or estimated to be incurred under a fixed-price incentive contract.
- (b) This section applies to all contracts in excess of \$500,000, except fixed-price contracts without cost incentives or any firm-fixed-price contracts for the purchase of commercial items.

[60 FR 42658, Aug. 16, 1995]

42.709-1 General.

- (a) The following penalties apply to contracts covered by this section:
- (1) If the indirect cost is expressly unallowable under a cost principle in the FAR, or an executive agency supplement to the FAR, that defines the allowability of specific selected costs, the penalty is equal to—
- (i) The amount of the disallowed costs allocated to contracts that are subject to this section for which an indirect cost proposal has been submitted: plus
- (ii) Interest on the paid portion, if any, of the disallowance.